
STEP-BY-STEP GUIDE Reviewer's Manual of «Comunicar»

Media Education Research Journal

ISSN: 1134-3478; e-ISSN: 1988-3294

www.revistacomunicar.com

<https://www.revistacomunicar.com/ojs>

Open Journal System 3



<https://www.revistacomunicar.com/ojs/index.php/comunicar/login>

1. Reception of e-mail

The review process begins when you receive an email inviting you to evaluate a manuscript:

Tyrion Lannister:

I believe that you would serve as an excellent reviewer of the manuscript, "Geopolitical consequences of the universe Game of Thrones in audiovisual communication," which has been submitted to Comunicar. The submission's abstract is inserted below, and I hope that you will consider undertaking this important task.

Please log into the journal web site by 2019-06-02 to indicate whether you will undertake the review or not, as well as to access the submission and to record your review and recommendation.

The review itself is due 2019-06-16.


Submission URL:

<https://www.revistacomunicar.com/ojs/index.php/comunicar/reviewer/submission?submissionId=1530&reviewId=3896&key=Lsaq44f2Vh5izx>

Thank you for considering this request.


In the message received you will find a direct link to the page to accept or reject this Review. Click on it and you will enter directly without using a username and password.

2. Acceptance of the revision



The screenshot shows the OJS Reviewer interface. The top navigation bar includes the Comunicar logo, a 'Tasks' dropdown with '1' selected, and links for 'English', 'View Site', and 'reviewer'. The left sidebar has 'Submissions' and 'Comunicar' links. The main content area is titled 'Review: Geopolitical consequences of the universe Game of Thrones in audiovisual communication'. Below this is a progress bar with four steps: '1. Request' (active), '2. Guidelines', '3. Download & Review', and '4. Completion'. The 'Manuscript for review' section displays the title 'Geopolitical consequences of the universe Game of Thrones in audiovisual communication' and an abstract. The 'Review Schedule' section shows dates: 'Editor's Request' (2019-05-26), 'Response Due Date' (2019-06-02), and 'Review Due Date' (2019-06-16). A checkbox for 'Yes, I agree to have my data collected and stored according to the [privacy statement](#)' is checked. At the bottom, there are two buttons: 'Accept Review, Continue to Step #2' and 'Decline Review Request'.

When you enter through the link, you arrive at the application page, where you can see the title and abstract of the manuscript. To review it, accept the privacy statement and click on “Accept review, continue to step 2”.



The screenshot shows the OJS Reviewer interface at the 'Guidelines' step. The top navigation bar and left sidebar are the same as in the previous screenshot. The main content area is titled 'Review: Geopolitical consequences of the universe Game of Thrones in audiovisual communication'. Below this is a progress bar with four steps: '1. Request', '2. Guidelines' (active), '3. Download & Review', and '4. Completion'. The 'Indications for Reviewers' section provides links for 'Guidelines for Authors', 'Guidelines for Reviewers', 'Video for Reviewers', and 'Manual for Reviewers'. At the bottom, there are two buttons: 'Continue to Step #3' and 'Go Back'.

You can then access documentation for reviewers in the “Guidelines” section.

3. Review

In “Download and review” you can download the manuscript to be reviewed. Once read, please complete the review and indicate the score for each section. Do not forget to include your recommendation for the article at the end of the document.

Comunicar Tasks 1 English View Site enotur

Review: Geopolitical consequences of the universe Game of Thrones in audiovisual communication

1. Request 2. Guidelines 3. Download & Review 4. Completion

Submissions

Review Files [Search](#)

ID	File Name	Date	Type
441-1	Article Text	May 26, 2019	Article Text
442-1	Cover letter and title page	May 26, 2019	Cover letter and title page

Review
Enter your review of this submission in the form below. It is recommended to write the evaluation in word document and then paste it into the form.


Comunicar Form

01. Title and summary (clarity and structure)	The title and abstract are presented clearly and well structured and are consistent with the topic discussed in the text.	Value: 0 to 5 5
02. Relevance of the subject 03. Originality of work 04. Literature review	This is an interesting subject, however, I don't think is clear the connection between the Alfabetización mediática e informacional: currículum para profesores and the study they are conducting. It is difficult to follow an argument. The literature review is mostly targeted to AMI subjects and is not always in connection with the sample theme, and context.	Rate from 0 to 10 10
05. Structure and organization article 06. Story capacity 07. Writing	The article is very well organized, and its structure is clearly explained. The authors present strong arguments, namely those based on the literature review. Objectives are also clearly stated. The scientific writing is clear, but it will be important to focus on disinformation and not only on the structure.	Rate from 0 to 10 10
08. Methodological rigor 09. Research instruments	The methodology used well explained: content analysis.	Rate from 0 to 10 10
10. Research results 11. Advances 12. Discussion 13. Conclusions	The results are relevant, but the discussions would need to address clearly the different areas they worked in the results and also establish connections among them. Conclusions are not in direct line with the article objectives.	Rate from 0 to 10 10
14. Citations (variety and wealth) 15. References	The work is very interesting, but too unfinished for publication.	Rate from 0 to 5 5
Written opinion (More detailed information if the manuscript does not obtain 10 points, to provide the author)	The article deals with a very relevant subject. It is original and offers an interesting relationship between behavior towards commercial content and privacy. The literature review is good but it lacks some more recent.	
Proposed modifications	This is a good study with solid research results. The findings are both interesting and significant. Yet, the theoretical contribution of this paper is limited. The author is encouraged to elaborate his/her findings further and relate them to theoretical discussion.	


Global evaluation of the manuscript
Accept Submission

Submit Review Go Back

* Denotes required field



Clicking “Submit Review” will conclude the manuscript review process.



If you have any questions or difficulties, please do not hesitate to contact us at info@grupocomunicar.com and we will gladly assist you.