

## **M**ANUSCRIPT EVALUATION PROTOCOL FOR EXTERNAL REVIEWERS

Details of the article		
Date sent for review:	Date returned following review:	Article code: xxxx
Title of the article to be reviewed:		
SECTION, STUDIE	ES DECOCAL S DEDOCTS AND DEVIEWS	
Elements to evaluate	ES, PROPOSALS, REPORTS, AND REVIEWS	
01. Title and abstract: (quality and	Required comments:	
structure)		
Structure,		
		Rating from 0 to 5
O2 Poloverse of the subject	Required comments:	
02. Relevance of the subject	Required Comments.	
		Rating from 0 to 5
03. Literature review	Required comments:	
Co. Literature review		
		Rating from 0 to 5
		- J
04. Article structure and organisation	Required comments:	
05. Argumentation capacity		
06. Scientific Writing		
		Rating from 0 to 5
07. Original contributions	Required comments	
08. Conclusions	Troquitou commonio	
Conclusions		
		Rating from 0 to 5
OO Citations	Required comments:	
<ul><li>09. Citations</li><li>10. Range of references</li></ul>	nequired comments.	
To. Natige of references		
		Rating from 0 to 5
SCORE OBTAINED	Of the 50 points available in total, this reviewer awards:	
WRITTEN OPINION		
(More detailed information if the manuscript		
[	1	



submitted does not obtain 40 points, to provide authors with feedback anonymously)						
SUITABLE FOR PUBLICATION	No		Yes		Yes, with provisos	
MODIFICATIONS PROPOSED (In case of «Yes, with provisos»)						

Scores protocol					
From 0 to 25 points	No (rejection)				
From 26 to 33 points	Yes, with major changes				
From 34 to 43 points	Yes, with minor changes				
From 44 points onwards	Yes (accept)				