

MANUSCRIPT EVALUATION PROTOCOL FOR EXTERNAL REVIEWERS

Details of the article		
Date sent for review:	Date returned following review:	Article code: xxxx
Title of the article to be reviewed:		
SECTION: INVESTIGATIONS		
Elements to evaluate		
01. Title and abstract: (quality and structure)	Required comments:	Rating from 0 to 5 <input type="text"/>
02. Relevance of the subject 03. Originality of the work 04. Literature review	Required comments:	Rating from 0 to 5 <input type="text"/>
05. Article structure and organization 06. Argumentation capacity 07. Scientific Writing	Required comments:	Rating from 0 to 5 <input type="text"/>
08. Methodological rigor 09. Research instruments	Required comments:	Rating from 0 to 5 <input type="text"/>
10. Research results 11. Advancement results 12. Discussion 13. Conclusions	Required comments:	Rating from 0 to 5 <input type="text"/>
14. Citations 15. Range of references	Required comments:	Rating from 0 to 5 <input type="text"/>
SCORE OBTAINED	Of the 50 points available in total , this reviewer awards:	<input type="text"/>
WRITTEN OPINION (More detailed information if the manuscript		

submitted does not obtain 40 points, to provide authors with feedback anonymously)						
SUITABLE FOR PUBLICATION		No		Yes		Yes, with provisos
MODIFICATIONS PROPOSED (In case of «Yes, with provisos»)						

Scores protocol	
From 0 to 25 points	No (rejection)
From 26 to 33 points	Yes, with major changes
From 34 to 43 points	Yes, with minor changes
From 44 points onwards	Yes (accept)