





A systematic literature review of the representations of migration in Brazil and the United Kingdom

Una revisión sistemática de la literatura de las representaciones de la migración en Brasil y Reino Unido

-  Isabella Gonçalves. PhD Candidate, Department of Communication, Johannes Gutenberg University, Mainz (Germany) (idesousa@uni-mainz.de) (<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6492-9236>)
-  Dr. Yossi David. Professor, Department of Communication, Johannes Gutenberg University, Mainz (Germany) (ydaavid@uni-mainz.de) (<https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8020-0317>)

ABSTRACT

In recent decades, increased scholarly attention has been paid to the interactions between immigration, media coverage, framing, and the rise of populism. This paper draws on these interactions to systematically review peer-reviewed articles related to media representations of immigrants and refugees in Brazil and the United Kingdom (UK). The objective was to identify the tone used in such representations and the research methods applied in the articles. This is the first systematic literature review that compares studies on media and immigration including both Western, Educated, Industrialized, Rich, and Democratic (WEIRD) and non-WEIRD countries. This paper uses Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analysis (PRISMA) to collect, map, and systematize 47 peer-reviewed articles published in the past two decades. The findings show that, in the studies we analyzed, the tone of representation of refugees in the media in both countries is negative. Mass media underrepresent the main actors and use stereotypes and discourses of otherization. This paper contributes to our understanding of the differences between studies, including those conducted in the UK and Brazil, and calls for more comparative studies that include countries from the global south and global north. It also demonstrates the standardization of frames and tone of representation on immigration in both countries, suggesting similar patterns across different countries.

RESUMEN

En las últimas décadas, se ha prestado una mayor atención académica a las interacciones entre la inmigración, la cobertura de los medios de comunicación, los tipos de encuadres y el aumento del populismo. Este trabajo revisa sistemáticamente un grupo de artículos revisados por pares cuya temática son las representaciones mediáticas de los inmigrantes y los refugiados en Brasil y el Reino Unido con el fin de identificar el tono de dichas representaciones y los métodos de investigación utilizados. Esta es la primera revisión bibliográfica sistemática que compara estudios sobre los medios de comunicación y la inmigración que incluye una muestra de países del sur y del norte global. Este trabajo utiliza las directrices de PRISMA para recopilar, mapear y sistematizar 47 artículos revisados por pares y publicados en las últimas dos décadas. Encontramos tres tipos de tonos en los medios de comunicación analizados en los estudios de ambos países, ordenados aquí de mayor a menor frecuencia: negativo, neutro y positivo. Los medios de comunicación infrarrepresentan a los principales actores y utilizan estereotipos y discursos que trazan una frontera entre nosotros/as y los/as otros/as. Este trabajo contribuye a nuestra comprensión de las diferencias entre los estudios realizados en el Reino Unido y Brasil, y reclama más estudios comparativos que incluyan países del sur y del norte global. También demuestra la existencia de patrones de representación similares en ambos países.

KEYWORDS | PALABRAS CLAVE

Immigrants, refugees, representation, systematic review, mass media studies, scientific production.
Inmigrantes, refugiados, representación, revisión, estudios de medios de comunicación, producción científica.

1. Introduction

Populistic rhetoric is characterized by discourses and frames dividing society into "us" and "them" (Araújo & Prior, 2020). Immigrants and refugees, as the ultimate other, are a frequent target of populist discourses, portrayed as threats to the economy, culture, and security (Levy et al., 2016; Wirz et al., 2018). Negative representation is associated with the increase of xenophobia and hate speech, weakening existing anti-discriminatory regulations and strengthening avoidance and discrimination towards immigrants and refugees (Bilewicz & Soral, 2020; Kroon et al., 2020). Media discourses may help shape and construct negative stereotypical frames that present immigrants and refugees as bad, threat or victim, in a process that divides in-groups from outgroups (Seate & Mastro, 2017; Matthes & Schmuck, 2017). Since the media influences the public agenda (McCombs & Shaw, 1972; McCombs & Valenzuela, 2007), it is essential to understand media representation and frames over time.

There are numerous studies focusing on media framing and representations of immigrants and refugees in Europe (Amores et al., 2019; Eberl et al., 2018; Lams, 2018). A robust literature review from 2018 examines the diversity of findings from those studies; however, it is noteworthy that none of these studies compares European and Latin American countries (Eberl et al., 2018). A vast majority of the studies have focused on European territories, with a minority also comparing European countries with the United States or African countries (Benson, 2013; Fengler et al., 2020). The prevalence of studies that focus on Western Educated, Industrialized, Rich and Democratic (WEIRD) societies was highlighted in communication and media studies (Chakravarty et al., 2018).

This systematic review seeks to contribute in filling this gap by focusing on studies about media and migration in Brazil and the United Kingdom (UK). Drawing on the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analysis (PRISMA) guidelines for systematizing literature, this paper aims to provide a better understanding of the differences and similarities in research related to media representations of immigrants and refugees in Brazil and the UK. It focuses on the following two questions: (RQ1) which research methods were used; and (RQ2) how the representation varied between Brazil and the UK in the findings of previous scholarly literature.

The study focuses on these two countries because of their recent interactions with the populist and far-right end of the political spectrum. Scholars have shown that immigration is an important factor in the success of right-wing political parties (Shehaj et al., 2021). In the UK, two events represent the political bond with populism: the UK Independence Party's ascension and the Brexit Referendum in 2016 (Hughes, 2019). In Brazil, the most prominent event is the election of President Jair Bolsonaro in 2018 (Lugo-Ocando, 2020; Novoselova, 2020). In both cases, politicians portrayed immigrants as enemies (Hughes, 2019; Silva, 2020).

Although populism rose in different countries worldwide, this study aims to compare one country from the global south and one country from the global north with different political and media systems with similar experiences on populism in the past years. The UK has a parliamentary form of democracy, while Brazil has a presidential system. Moreover, the UK belongs to the liberal model of media, while Brazil has characteristics from different models. Some aspects of Brazilian media are: private media enterprises' control of the press; the politicization of public broadcasting media; low level of newspapers circulation; and tradition of non-objective journalism style (Albuquerque, 2012; Hallin & Mancini, 2004). A comparison between these two countries may help us uncover the prevalent discourse and the similarities and differences between countries with different political and economic systems and traditions, and those that have experienced far-right and populist political movements in the 21st century. Moreover, this study can lead to more comparisons, including countries from the global south and north.


2. Materials and methods

Our study is based on a systematic review of 47 peer-reviewed articles published in indexed journals over the past two decades (2001-2020) in either English or Portuguese. The articles focus on references to immigration and/or refugees in both legacy and digital media. We followed PRISMA guidelines when collecting, mapping, and systematically reviewing the literature (Moher et al., 2015). The articles were collected from the Web of Science Core Collection, Scopus, and B-on databases.

2.1. Search and selection procedure

We systematically searched for articles from the past two decades that focus on the representation of immigrants and refugees in Brazil and the UK. First, we conducted a search of all databases using a Boolean search string that combined the terms: "content analysis"; "discourse analysis"; "media analysis"; "media"; "coverage"; "news"; "migrat*"; "immigrat*"; "migrant*"; "refugee"; "Brazil", and "the United Kingdom"¹. We performed the search in English for research related to the UK and in Portuguese and English for research related to Brazil. In the case of Brazil, we also included the B-on database, since only a very small number of Brazilian communication articles was found in the Web of Science Core Collection and Scopus databases (five and three articles, respectively). We therefore decided to add the Portuguese database B-on to our criteria, since this database searches Scopus, Web of Science, and other important academic indexers in Latin America, such as Latindex, Sumários.org, and DOAJ (Costa, 2015; Vasconcelos, 2004). We opted for including B-on to find results beyond the major western databases, hence avoiding the exclusion of important academic literature in Brazil and Latin America.

We used the following inclusion criteria (CR) when searching for papers: (CR1) peer-reviewed articles related to the UK and Brazil; (CR2) peer-reviewed articles related to discourses about immigration in mass media, political campaigns, social media, or cultural productions; (CR3) peer-reviewed articles written in English or Portuguese. We found 40 articles in Web of Science (35 on the UK and five on Brazil), 26 in Scopus (three on Brazil) and 76 on the B-on platform (all related to Brazil). From the total of 142 articles, 10 were excluded because they were duplicates. Of the remaining 132 articles, 85 more were excluded because they did not adhere to the abovementioned criteria. The final corpus of analysis thus included 47 peer-reviewed articles published in scientific journals, 19 related to Brazil, and 28 to the UK (Figure 1).



2.2. Coding procedure

When analyzing the literature, we identified the object of analysis; research question(s); method(s); and findings. Additionally, we used the SPSS software for quantitative analysis. We added variables, including methods (quantitative, qualitative, mixed); types of study (comparative or single-country), and

tone of representation in media analyzed in the studies (negative, neutral, and positive). We quantified the methods that were used by coding them as: 1 (quantitative); 2 (qualitative); or 3 (mixed). The types of study were coded as: 1 (comparative); or 2 (single-country). When analyzing the tone of representation, we coded this as: -1 (negative), 0 (neutral), and 1 (positive). Articles that did not specifically mention the tone of representation were excluded from this analysis.

3. Analysis and findings

3.1. Methods and objects of analysis

We found some important cross-national differences when examining the use of mixed, quantitative, or qualitative methods in the two countries. Table 1 shows that quantitative methods were most prevalent in the UK (67.9%), followed by qualitative (17.9%), and mixed approaches (14.3%). Studies that included Brazil were mostly qualitative (94.7%), with only one quantitative example. The Fisher's exact test demonstrates significant differences ($p < .001$) between Brazil and the UK in terms of these studies' use of mixed, quantitative, or qualitative methods.

Table 1. Mixed, quantitative, and qualitative studies including Brazil and the UK

Dependent variable	Brazil	United Kingdom	Total
Quantitative	1 (5.3%)	19 (67.9%)	20 (42.6%)
Qualitative	18 (94.7%)	5 (17.9%)	23 (48.9%)
Mixed	0 (0%)	4 (14.3%)	4 (8.5%)
Total	19 (100%)	28 (100%)	47 (100 %)

Note. $\chi^2(2, N=47)=26.807$; $p < 0.001$.

The most frequently used method in UK studies was quantitative content analysis, which was applied in 39% of the reviewed articles (Amores et al., 2019; Aydemir & Vliegenthart, 2018; Hughes, 2019; Kaleda, 2014; Koopmans, 2004; Langer & Gruber, 2021; Masini, 2019; Masini et al., 2018; Shan-Jan, 2019; Walter, 2019). Other studies used a combination of methods to answer their research questions, such as semi-structured interviews, focus groups, social network analysis, and panel surveys (Balabanova, 2019; Froio & Ganesh, 2019; Hamlin, 2016; Scalvini, 2016; Wirz et al., 2018). Discourse analyses were carried out in 15% of the articles (Bates, 2017; Gibson & Booth, 2017; Lams, 2018; Pruitt, 2019). A minority of papers used other methods, such as an experimental approach to media effects, topic modeling, sentiment analysis, thematic analysis, case studies, and public opinion polls (Evans & Mellon, 2019; Harper & Hogue, 2019; Heidenreich et al., 2019; Risam, 2018; Ryan & Reicher, 2019; Schumann et al., 2020; Vautier, 2009).

The vast majority (94%) of the papers related to research from Brazil used qualitative methods (Aragão & Santil, 2018; Moreira et al., 2019; Silva, 2020; Zanforlin & Cogo, 2019); by contrast, quantitative methods were prevalent in the UK (accounting for 67% of all articles reviewed). Most articles that included Brazil (31%) combined different methods, such as interviews and questionnaires (Brignol & Costa, 2018b; Javorski & Brignol, 2017; Theodoro & Cogo, 2020). The second most frequently used method (15%) was discourse analysis (Mizga & Trovão, 2018; Moreira et al., 2019; Sacramento & Machado, 2015). Other methods included a case study approach, narrative analysis, experience reports, framing analyses, reception studies, and exploratory research. Most of the UK studies analyzed newspapers (75%). Some (19%) analyzed newspapers alongside other data sources, broadcast transcripts, social media, official immigration rates, longitudinal monitoring surveys, and in-depth interviews (Evans & Mellon, 2019; Hamlin, 2016; Langer & Gruber, 2021; Ryan & Reicher, 2019; Wirz et al., 2018). A few used other media sources, such as Twitter, photos, political speeches, or questionnaires (Amores et al., 2019; Froio & Ganesh, 2019; Schumann et al., 2020; Vautier, 2009). Similarly, most research on Brazil (35.3%) analyzed newspapers (Moreira et al., 2019; Ranincheski & Uebel, 2018; Sacramento & Machado, 2015). However, other media outlets were also investigated, and a significant portion of the studies (35.3%) examined a variety of media (Cogo, 2018; Silva, 2020; Zanforlin & Cogo, 2019). Some articles (11.8%) studied television broadcast material (Javorski & Brignol, 2017; Mizga & Trovão, 2018); others (11.8%) analyzed social media (Brignol & Costa, 2018b; Morais & Santos, 2017); and, lastly, a single study focused on literary books related to migration and refugees in Brazil (Tonus, 2018).

3.2. Comparative studies

Table 2 provides an overview of which types of study were most frequently seen in each country. In total, 57.1% of studies that included the UK were comparative, while in Brazil, there was only a single comparative study. In Brazil, most studies (94.7%) focused only on national media, in contrast to the sample from the UK (42.9%). The chi-square test yielded a significant difference ($p < .001$) between Brazil and the UK when considering comparative versus non-comparative studies (Table 1).

Dependent variable	Brazil	United Kingdom	Total
Comparative	1 (5.3%)	16 (57.1%)	17 (36.2%)
Non-comparative	18 (94.7%)	12 (42.9%)	30 (63.8%)
Total	19 (100%)	28 (100%)	47 (100%)

Note. $\chi^2(1, N=47)=13.196; p<0.001$.

Of the 16 comparative studies including the UK, 12 (75%) focused on European countries (Aydemir & Vliegenthart, 2018; Mancini et al., 2019; Masini, 2019), and of those 12, only three (25%) compared countries from eastern and western Europe (Balabanova, 2019; Heidenreich et al., 2019; Lams, 2018). Four studies (25%) compared the UK with non-European countries, such as Canada, Hong Kong, Kenya, the United States, and Taiwan (Kaleda, 2014; Lawlor, 2015; Risam, 2018; Shan-Jan, 2019). The analysis shows that, even when a study made an attempt to adopt a more cross-national perspective, this primarily focused on comparisons between countries in the global north.

One study that did conduct a comparative, cross-national analysis of media framing of immigration in the UK, United States, Hong Kong, and Taiwan (Shan-Jan, 2019) showed higher levels of negative tone toward immigrants in the UK and United States than in Hong Kong and Taiwan. According to this study's findings, most media frames in the United States and the UK portray immigrants as threats to the economy or national security, while the media in Hong Kong and Taiwan adopt a cultural integration frame which presents the assimilation immigrants into their host culture. In addition, studies which compared the UK and Canada showed that negative representation and an emphasis on crime and security frames prevailed in both (Lawlor, 2015); however, Canada adopted a less negative tone by using an economic frame on immigrants' contributions to economic growth.

Comparative studies that included the UK and the United States found that reporting on refugees were characterized by suspicion, lack of sympathy, and uniformization (Risam, 2018; Kaleda, 2014). Further studies have found that most of the actors quoted directly or indirectly in legacy media reports on refugees were state authorities or actors in favor of or against immigrants, but not the immigrants themselves (Masini, 2019; Masini et al., 2018). Alternative media, on the other hand, usually gave immigrants a voice by quoting refugees or immigrants directly (Kaleda, 2014).

In contrast to the prevalence of comparative studies in the UK, only one article in the Brazilian corpus compared different countries, both in Latin America (Silva, 2020). Most research focused on the national level and analyzed important Brazilian newspapers, such as O Globo and Folha de S. Paulo (Morais & Santos, 2017; Moreira et al., 2019; Ranincheski & Uebel, 2018; Sacramento & Machado, 2015). Findings related to national media demonstrate a process of silencing immigrants and refugees, who are rarely quoted or referenced as sources of information and are not presented as the protagonists in media stories (Moreira et al., 2019). In addition, articles also diminish immigrants by portraying aspects of their home countries as negative, contributing to the process of othering and the separation between "us" and "them" (Ranincheski & Uebel, 2018). When newspapers show the terrible conditions and difficulties faced by immigrants, these immigrants are also presented as a threat to public health (Sacramento & Machado, 2015) or as victims (Morais & Santos, 2017). When comparing national and regional television news, it is clear that the theme of immigration has little visibility in Brazil and that international reporting trends are reproduced on the national level (Javorski & Brignol, 2017). Results from an analysis focusing on southern Brazil also show that the negative tone is observed locally, with news items focusing on regional problems and immigrants' difficulties, again using a victim frame (Almeida & Brandão, 2015).

3.3. Patterns in the representation of immigration

Table 3 shows that the tone of representation in media analyzed in the studies that included Brazil and the UK is mainly negative (50%), followed by neutral (32.5%), and then positive (17.5%). When comparing the research on both countries, no major differences were found. In both Brazil and the UK, the aggregate media representation from the data analyzed in the literature we reviewed was primarily negative (50%), followed by neutral (33.3% and 31.3% for Brazil and the UK, respectively), and then positive (16.7% and 18.8% for Brazil and the UK, respectively).

Dependent variable	Brazil	United Kingdom	Total
Positive	3 (18.8%)	4 (16.7%)	7 (17.5%)
Neutral	5 (31.3%)	8 (33.3%)	13 (32.5%)
Negative	8 (50%)	12 (50%)	20 (50%)

Note. Due to the sample size, we could not perform the Chi-Square test. Results show that no significant differences were found.

A majority (78.5%) of studies including the UK focused on the general category of immigration and refugees (Amores et al., 2019; Lawlor, 2015; Mancini et al., 2019; Masini, 2019), while in Brazil, most studies (79%) focused on specific groups of immigrants, such as Senegalese, Haitians, or Venezuelans (Almeida & Brandão, 2015; Brignol & Costa, 2018a; Morais & Santos, 2017; Sacramento & Machado, 2015). The results reveal that representation differs depending on the nationality of the immigrants in question, with European immigrants receiving more positive representation in Brazil (Cogo, 2018; Dadalto, 2013) and immigrants to the UK who originate from Muslim countries being the worst represented (Froio & Ganesh, 2019; Scalvini, 2016). Studies in both countries lack intersectional perspectives, uniformizing the figure of the immigrant or refugee. For example, only one study about immigrants in Brazil references invisibility dynamics in the LGBTQI+ diaspora (Theodoro & Cogo, 2020).

As found in one study, conducted by Benson (2013), this systematic literature review revealed standardization and repetition of the threat, victims, and hero frames. The hero frame is usually related to neoliberal values, presenting stories that describe immigrant success stories, helping to shape the idea that outsiders have to fight for their achievements (Zanforlin & Cogo, 2019). Media also represent refugees and immigrants as victims, for instance, in articles that show overcrowded spaces, describe conflicts with authorities, or mention a lack of resources, creating the idea of a uniform mass of victims (Lima et al., 2016; Mizga & Trovão, 2018). Finally, another frequent issue is presenting these groups as a threat by associating them with words such as "invasion," stating that there is a necessity to control them, and mentioning state-sanctioned benefits for migrants (Cogo & Silva, 2015; Lima-Pimentel & Castro-Cotinguiba, 2014; Ranincheski & Uebel, 2018). The results also showed that the terms "refugees" and "immigrants" are generally used interchangeably (Brignol & Costa, 2018a; Pereira-Lima & Faria-Campos, 2015).

Of studies including the UK, only a minority (16.7%) of results showed a positive tone (Balabanova, 2019; Bates, 2017; Langer & Gruber, 2021; Ryan & Reicher, 2019). In some cases, positive representation was the result of special circumstances, for instance, the United Nations' Refugee Agency campaign that sought to increase positive sentiment related to refugees (Balabanova, 2019). Other examples of positive representation were in response to scandals related to hostile behavior against immigrants or newspapers portraying immigrants positively in opposition to human rights violations against them (Bates, 2017; Langer & Gruber, 2021; Ryan & Reicher, 2019). In rare cases (4.3%), studies in both countries referred to positive discourses that were linked to hero frames (Ryan & Reicher, 2019; Zanforlin & Cogo, 2019). Nevertheless, hero frames portray immigrants positively when immigrants have been accepted by and adapted to fit the culture of a more welcoming country (Shan-Jan, 2019). Positive representation is also found in alternative media, as some articles related to the Brazilian context show (Aragão & Santil, 2018; Brignol & Costa, 2018b; Cogo, 2018). In these media outlets, some prevalent themes are citizenship, political and social participation, integration, culture valorization, exposing racism, and helpful information for those groups.

In political discourses, liberal immigration programs are presented as a "fair" option founded on meritocratic methods, provided these programs dictate which immigrant groups should be accepted

(Gibson & Booth, 2017). Research shows connections between the growth of the United Kingdom Independence Party (UKIP), anti-migration and anti-European Union (EU) sentiment, the EU referendum in 2016, and Brexit (Evans & Mellon, 2019). UKIP regularly used populist language to appeal to "ordinary" people, diminishing elites and evoking the differences between British people and others (Hughes, 2019) in a bid to boost its success. Politically, Brazil faced a similar situation. Until the election of President Jair Bolsonaro, Brazilian politicians tended to use pro-immigrant and refugee discourses (Cogo, 2018; Dadalto, 2013); Bolsonaro, on the other hand, portrays immigrants as the enemy (Silva, 2020). Like UKIP, Bolsonaro gained visibility by adopting a populist discourse that separated "us" from "them," criticized the system and the establishment, condemned corruption, and adopted a nationalistic perspective.

4. Discussion

This systematic literature review of peer-reviewed studies examining media representations of immigrants and refugees in Brazil and the UK revealed similarities and differences in how research was conducted in these two countries. Studies focusing on Brazil were predominantly local, while in the UK, they usually adopted a comparative approach that incorporated other countries. However, these comparative studies were mainly conducted in European countries and the global north, focusing on WEIRD countries. The results also show differences in the methods chosen for studies based on the country it took place in. In Brazil, a qualitative approach was more popular, while quantitative methods were more prevalent in the UK. One possible explanation for the difference in which methods were used could be the different research traditions and inequity in terms of access to research infrastructure. These differences also demonstrate how varied the research traditions are in each country. Brazil, for instance, is influenced by Anglophone, Latin-American and French communication research and focuses on critical approaches to media and communication. In addition, WEIRD countries in the global north have more significant resources to devote to research, while universities in Latin America, including Brazilian communication faculties, often lack access to news databases and statistical software (Skoric, 2014; Zelenkauskaitė & Bucy, 2016).

Different studies in this review also focused on different media platforms. Studies focusing on Brazil looked at a diverse range of media, including newspapers, television, social media, or a combination of two or more. In contrast, research focusing on the UK concentrated mainly on newspapers; the prevalence of newspaper articles in these studies is most likely due to the fact that they are easily accessible via digital archives, while collecting data from broadcast media is more difficult. The results also demonstrate that research in both Brazil and the UK tends to focus primarily on legacy media, with alternative communication platforms rarely being studied. The emergence of new voices and their possible participation in social media has gone almost unnoticed. This is most likely a result of the significant influence that legacy media has at the agenda across society (Langer & Gruber, 2021).

Although both countries have different media and political systems and significant cultural differences, the results show that discourses on immigration are predominantly negative. In line with previous systematic reviews showing the dominance of political elite sources in legacy media (Consterdine, 2018; Eberl et al., 2018), our findings demonstrate that immigrant groups are usually underrepresented and they are rarely included as sources in these discourses. Instead, other groups communicate for them, such as national authorities and pro- or anti-immigration groups or representatives. The studies revealed that immigrants and refugees are better represented in alternative media, which offers them a platform to express their opinions with less stereotypical framing in comparison to mainstream media (Aragão & Santil, 2018; Cogo, 2018; Kaleda, 2014).

Refugees are framed as vulnerable, suffering, helpless victims, and as a mass of people (Kaleda, 2014; Morais & Santos, 2017). In some cases, concepts are used interchangeably, with "immigrants" and "refugees" being used synonymously, or the categories overlap, generating and reinforcing stereotypes (Mizga & Trovão, 2018). Both immigrants and refugees are represented as threats to culture, the welfare state, and public health (Hamlin, 2016; Pruitt, 2019; Sacramento & Machado, 2015). Metaphors describing refugees and immigrants as an "invasion" that is "flooding" a country promote in the public imagination a sense that these groups are dangerous or threatening, increasing fear toward them. These

findings are in line with previous studies, in which immigrants and refugees are often framed as cultural, economic, or criminal threats (Consterdine, 2018; Eberl et al., 2018). The presence in these studies of the threat, victim, and hero frames mirrors findings by Benson (2013), which suggest a standardization of frames in both countries.

Furthermore, this type of framing also contributes to the process of otherization, in which a society is divided into "us" and "them." These stereotypical frames are particularly prevalent in populist discourses, designed to create groups of others, or strangers, who are seen as dangerous enemies of the nation. Negative stereotyping is also articulated with hate speech (Paz et al., 2020), and a past study showed that forms of hate speech are more common today in traditional media (Winiewski et al., 2017), which often reproduces insults directed to minority groups through others' declarations, such as the ones from populist leaders. However, even before the election of populist leaders, there existed frames dividing ingroups from outgroups and an association between immigration and invasion. This makes it clear that frames prevail across many years and that, even if they can be dynamic, they create common cognitions and produce societal bonds that are collective and resistant to change. Previous results demonstrate that people more exposed to hate speech are more likely to use hate speech and to support repressive measures directed to immigrants and refugees, such as closing frontiers. Moreover, the increase of hate speech is also associated with the desensitization of people towards this type of discourse (Winiewski et al., 2017).

Although negative representations are prevalent, the results also revealed some positive discourses in both Brazil and the UK. Positive representations were usually associated with exceptional and unique events, such as illegal or controversial deportations, issues of discrimination, or amnesty for immigrants; or with memorable dates, such as World Refugee Day (Balabanova, 2019; Bates, 2017; Langer & Gruber, 2021; Ryan & Reicher, 2019; Zanforlin & Cogo, 2019). Another frequent positive representation is the hero frame, which encapsulates a neoliberal notion of immigration in which refugees or immigrants are responsible for their own success, with the state bearing no responsibility for it.

5. Conclusions

The aim of this systematic literature review was to analyze research concerning media representations of immigrants and refugees in Brazil and the UK, given the absence of comparative studies related to immigration and media in these two countries. This review first sought to provide answers to the following questions: which research methods were used in these studies, and how the representation varied between Brazil and the UK in the findings of the scholarly literature. This article evaluates patterns that exist in studies including both countries and highlights the primary differences and similarities between the two by systematically reviewing the existing scholarly literature on media representations of immigration in both countries. This is the first comparative examination of its type, and it aims to pave the way for further empirical comparative studies that incorporate the global south and global north by comparing WEIRD and non-WEIRD countries.

Our study also revealed differences in terms of which methods were used in research focusing on Brazil versus the UK. For studies related to the UK, we would suggest using additional methods, including mixed-method approaches and comparative studies that incorporate the global south. Research focusing on Brazil may benefit from more quantitative approaches. In all cases, our results revealed a focus on legacy media and newspapers, to the exclusion of alternative communication platforms and other media outlets. Thus, future research should also analyze alternative media, compare legacy and alternative media, and focus on other media outlets. Alternative communication platforms may also offer an invaluable perspective into immigration coverage for those who might wish to craft an ideal ethical model for covering the topic.

We demonstrated that comparative studies, including those from the UK, tend to concentrate on WEIRD countries, while there is a lack of comparative studies including Brazil and other countries in the global south. We would like to emphasize that comparative studies incorporating both the global south and north are essential for a better understanding of the similarities and differences at play in relation to media and immigration. The limitations of this research lie on the fact that it concentrates on only two countries. Further research focusing on additional nations from the global north and global south can offer more insight into other similarities and differences. We would also recommend further research

comparing different countries across Asia, Africa and Latin America with countries from the global north. Strengthening and internationalizing research that is produced in the global south is valuable for a broader, decolonizing perspective, since scientific production is often westernized.

Finally, a systematic literature review has by its very nature several limitations; a keyword search, for example, can sometimes exclude essential research results. Further systematic literature reviews and meta-analyses using additional research criteria may be able to point to other important avenues of research. We searched for terms such as migration, immigration, and refugees. Additional studies can include keywords such as alien, illegal aliens, asylum seekers, international students, or expatriate.

This study contributes to our understanding of the representation of immigration in Brazil and the UK media analyzed in the studies. This paper reveals the similarities and differences between studies that examine media and migration in both the UK and Brazil. It highlights a standardization of framing, the prevalence of a negative tone in media analyzed in the studies, the silencing of migrant and refugee voices, and differences in applied research methods. Our research and its results cover countries from both the global north and the global south; it is evident that there is a repetition of frames related to immigration issues in both. Legacy media usually represents the topic negatively, focusing on aspects such as threats to the economy, security, or safety. Hence, the findings show that mass media generally contributes to negative stereotyping, which is shown to contribute to the increase of hate speech and violence towards immigrants and refugees. To improve the representation, mass media should emphasize immigrants' and refugees' contributions to increase positive associations and adopt a balanced view that highlights different angles.

Authors' Contribution

Idea, I.G.; Literature review (state of the art), I.G.; Methodology, I.G.; Data analysis, I.G.; Results, I.G.; Discussion and conclusions, I.G.; Writing (original draft), I.G.; Y.D.; Final revisions, Y.D.; Project design and funding agency, D.A.A.D.

Notes

¹We used the following search string to identify relevant publications related to media representations of immigrants or refugees between January 2000 and November 2020: ((AB=(content analysis OR discourse analysis OR media analysis) AND AB=(media OR coverage OR news) AND AB=(media OR coverage OR news) AND AB=(migrat* OR immigrat* OR refugee* OR immigrant* OR migrant*)) AND AB=(Brazil OR Brasil OR the United Kingdom OR the UK)).

Funding Agency

This study was supported by the Deutscher Akademischer Austauschdienst (DAAD) Doctoral Research Grant number 57507871.

References

- Albuquerque, A. (2012). On models and margins: Comparative media models viewed from a Brazilian perspective. In D. C. Hallin, & P. Mancini (Eds.), *Comparing media systems beyond the western world* (pp. 72-95). <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139005098.006>
- Almeida, C., & Brandão, B. (2015). Imigração, mídia e sociabilidade dos Haitianos [Immigration, media and the Haitian sociability]. *Revista Observatório, 1*, 62-79. <https://doi.org/10.20873/ufv.2447-4266.2015v1n3p62>
- Amores, J.J., Calderón, C.A., & Stanek, M. (2019). Visual frames of migrants and refugees in the main western European media. *Economics and Sociology, 12*(3), 147-161. <https://doi.org/10.14254/2071-789X.2019/12-3/10>
- Aragão, T., & Santil, V. (2018). Somos migrantes: O uso das redes sociais na produção midiática alternativa sobre a migração venezuelana em Roraima [We are Migrants: The use of social networks in alternative media production on Venezuelan immigration in Roraima]. *Aturá Revista Pan-Amazônica de Comunicação, 2*(1), 136-156. <https://bit.ly/3s2eYKx>
- Araújo, B., & Prior, H. (2020). Framing political populism: The role of media in framing the election of Jair Bolsonaro. *Journalism Practice, 15*(2), 226-242. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17512786.2019.1709881>
- Aydemir, N., & Vliegthart, R. (2018). Public discourse on minorities: How discursive opportunities shape representative patterns in the Netherlands and the UK. *Nationalities Papers, 46*(2), 237-251. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00905992.2017.1342077>
- Balabanova, E. (2019). Communicating cosmopolitanism during times of crisis: UNHCR and the World Refugee Day campaign in the UK and Bulgaria. *Journal of Human Rights Practice, 11*(3), 467-485. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jhuman/huz029>
- Bates, D. (2017). The "red door" controversy: Middlesbrough's asylum seekers and the discursive politics of racism. *Journal of Community and Applied Social Psychology, 27*(2), 126-136. <https://doi.org/10.1002/casp.2300>
- Benson, R. (2013). *Shaping immigration news: A French-American comparison*. Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139034326>

- Bilewicz, M., & Soral, W. (2020). Hate speech epidemic. The Dynamic effects of derogatory language on intergroup relations and political radicalization. *Political Psychology, 41*(1), 3-33. <https://doi.org/10.1111/pops.12670>
- Brignol, L.D., & Costa, N.D. (2018a). A saga e o sofrimento do outro senegalês: A construção do racismo em representações midiáticas da migração [Saga and suffering of the Senegalese other: building racism into media representations of migration]. *Chasqui, 138*, 135-151. <https://bit.ly/2Y7QC51>
- Brignol, L.D., & Costa, N.D. (2018b). Diáspora senegalesa e mediação tecnológica: Entre tempos e lugares na observação do Magal de Touba. *Contracampo, 37*(1), 9-29. <https://doi.org/10.22409/contracampo.v0i0.1079>
- Chakravarty, P., Kuo, R., Grubbs, V., & McIlwain, C. (2018). #CommunicationSoWhite. *Journal of Communication, (2)*, 254-266.
- Cogo, D. (2018). O Haiti é Aqui: Mídia, imigração haitiana e racismo no Brasil [Haiti is here: Media, Haitian immigration and racism in Brazil]. *Chasqui, 139*, 427-448. <https://bit.ly/39Rs82F>
- Cogo, D., & Silva, T. (2015). Entre a “fuga” e a “invasão”: Iiteridade e cidadania da imigração haitiana na mídia brasileira [Between escape and invasion: otherness and citizenship of Haitian immigration in the Brazilian media]. *Revista Famecos, 23*(1), 21885. <https://doi.org/10.15448/1980-3729.2016.1.21885>
- Consterdine, E. (2018). *State-of-the-art report on public attitudes, political discourses and media coverage on the arrival of refugees*. CEASEVAL Research on the Common European Asylum System. <https://bit.ly/3oRAgIV>
- Costa, T. (2015). A b-on e a preservação digital de periódicos eletrônicos: O projeto Portico. In *Ligar. Transformar. Criar Valor. 12º Congresso Nacional de Bibliotecários, Arquivistas e Documentalistas*. Évora. <https://bit.ly/3AVVsOuT>
- Dadalto, M.C. (2013). Imigração e permanência do sonho [Immigration and staying in the dream]. *Matrizes, 7*(2), 249-263. <https://doi.org/10.11606/issn.1982-8160.v7i2p249-263>
- Eberl, J.M., Meltzer, C.E., Heidenreich, T., Herrero, B., Theorin, N., Lind, F., Berganza, R., Boomgaarden, H.G., Schemer, C., & Strömbäck, J. (2018). The European media discourse on immigration and its effects: A literature review. *Annals of the International Communication Association, 42*(3), 207-223. <https://doi.org/10.1080/23808985.2018.1497452>
- Evans, G., & Mellon, J. (2019). Immigration, Euroscepticism, and the rise and fall of UKIP. *Party Politics, 25*(1), 76-87. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1354068818816969>
- Fengler, S., Bastian, M., Brinkmann, J., Zappe, A.C., Tatah, V., Andindilile, M., Assefa, E., Chibita, M., Mbaine, A., Obonyo, L., Quashigah, T., Skleparis, D., Splendore, S., Tadesse, M., & Lengauer, M. (2020). Covering migration -in Africa and Europe: Results from a comparative analysis of 11 countries. *Journalism Practice*, (pp. 1-21). <https://doi.org/10.1080/17512786.2020.1792333>
- Froio, C., & Ganesh, B. (2019). The transnationalisation of far-right discourse on Twitter: Issues and actors that cross borders in Western European democracies. *European Societies, 21*, 513-539. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14616696.2018.1494295>
- Gibson, S., & Booth, R. (2018). An Australian-style points system”: Individualizing immigration in radical right discourse in the 2015 U.K. General election campaign. *Peace and Conflict: Journal of Peace Psychology, 24*(4), 389-397. <https://doi.org/10.1037/pac0000267>
- Hallin, D.C., & Mancini, P. (2004). *Comparing media systems: Three models of media and politics*. Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511790867>
- Hamlin, R. (2016). Foreign criminals”, the human rights act, and the new constitutional politics of the United Kingdom. *Journal of Law and Courts, 4*(2), 437-461. <https://doi.org/10.1086/687409>
- Harper, C.A., & Hogue, T.E. (2019). The role of intuitive moral foundations in Britain’s vote on EU membership. *Journal of Community and Applied Social Psychology, 29*(2), 90-103. <https://doi.org/10.1002/casp.2386>
- Heidenreich, T., Lind, F., Eberl, J.M., & Boomgaarden, H.G. (2019). Media framing dynamics of the “European refugee crisis”: A comparative topic modelling approach. *Journal of Refugee Studies, 32*(1), 172-182. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jrs/fez025>
- Hughes, C. (2019). It’s the EU immigrants stupid! UKIP’s core-issue and populist rhetoric on the road to Brexit. *European Journal of Communication, 34*(3), 248-266. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0267323119830050>
- Javorski, E., & Brignol, L. (2017). Journalists and their role in selecting and building news on contemporary transnational migrations. *Brazilian Journalism Research, 13*(2), 28-45. <https://doi.org/10.25200/bjr.v13n2.2017.985>
- Kaleda, C. (2014). Media perceptions: Mainstream and grassroots media coverage of refugees in Kenya and the effects of global refugee policy. *Refugee Survey Quarterly, 33*(1), 94-111. <https://doi.org/10.1093/rsq/hdt025>
- Koopmans, R. (2004). Migrant mobilisation and political opportunities: Variation among German cities and a comparison with the United Kingdom and the Netherlands. *Journal of Ethnic and Migration Studies, 30*(3), 449-470. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13691830410001682034>
- Kroon, A.C., Trilling, D., Van-Der-Meer, T.G.L.A., & Jonkman, J.G.F. (2020). Clouded reality: News representations of culturally close and distant ethnic outgroups. *Communications, 45*(s1), 744-764. <https://doi.org/10.1515/commun-2019-2069>
- Lams, L. (2018). Discursive constructions of the summer 2015 refugee crisis: A comparative analysis of French, Dutch, Belgian francophone and British centre-of-right press narratives. *Journal of Applied Journalism and Media Studies, 7*(1), 103-127. https://doi.org/10.1386/ajms.7.1.103_1
- Langer, A.I., & Gruber, J.B. (2021). Political agenda setting in the hybrid media system: Why legacy media still matter a great deal. *The International Journal of Press/Politics, 26*(2), 313-340. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1940161220925023>
- Lawlor, A. (2015). Local and national accounts of immigration framing in a cross-national perspective. *Journal of Ethnic and Migration Studies, 41*(6), 918-941. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1369183X.2014.1001625>
- Levy, D., Aslan, B., & Bironzo, D. (2016). *UK press coverage of the EU referendum*. Reuters Institute for the Study of Journalism. <https://bit.ly/39P5Rm6>
- Lima, M., Ávila, O., & Silva, A. (2016). Aspectos da representação dos imigrantes haitianos no jornal Gazeta do Povo, de Curitiba/PR. *Intexto, 35*, 51-75. <https://doi.org/10.19132/1807-8583201635.51-75>

- Lima-Pimentel, M., & Castro-Cotinguiba, G. (2014). Wout, raketè, fwontyè, anpil mizè: Reflexões sobre os limites da alteridade em relação à imigração haitiana para o Brasil [Wout, raketè, fwontyè, anpil mizè: Reflections on the limits of otherness in relation to Haitian immigration to Brazil]. *Universitas: Relações Internacionais*, 12(1), 73-86. <https://doi.org/10.5102/uri.v12i1.2861>
- Lugo-Ocando, J. (2020). The 'changing' face of media discourses on poverty in the age of populism and anti-globalisation: The political appeal of anti-modernity and certainty in Brazil. *International Communication Gazette*, 82, 101-116. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1748048519880749>
- Mancini, P., Mazzoni, M., Barbieri, G., Damiani, M., & Gerli, M. (2021). What shapes the coverage of immigration. *Journalism*, 22(4), 845-866. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1464884919852183>
- Masini, A. (2019). Consonance and diversity of voices and viewpoints: A new paradigm to study actors' cumulative influence on viewpoints in immigration news. *International Journal of Communication*, 13, 674-692. <https://bit.ly/2YaUbry>
- Masini, A., Van-Aelst, P., Zerback, T., Reinemann, C., Mancini, P., Mazzoni, M., Damiani, M., & Coen, S. (2018). Measuring and explaining the diversity of voices and viewpoints in the news: A comparative study on the determinants of content diversity of immigration news. *Journalism Studies*, 19(15), 2324-2343. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1461670X.2017.1343650>
- Mathes, J., & Schmuck, D. (2017). The effects of anti-immigrant right-wing populist ads on implicit and explicit attitudes: A moderated mediation model. *Communication Research*, 44(4), 556-581. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0093650215577859>
- Mccombs, M., & Valenzuela, S. (2007). The agenda-setting theory. *Cuadernos.Info*, 1(20), 44-50. <https://doi.org/10.7764/cdi.20.111>
- Mccombs, M.E., & Shaw, D.L. (1972). The agenda-setting function of mass media. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 36(2), 176-187. <https://doi.org/10.1086/267990>
- Mizga, L.S., & Trovão, S.S. (2018). Conflitos contemporâneos: A categoria refugiado no telejornalismo brasileiro [Contemporary conflict: The refugee category in Brazilian telejournalism]. *Revista Observatório*, 4(5), 512-535. <https://doi.org/10.20873/ufv.2447-4266.2018v4n5p512>
- Moher, D., Shamseer, L., Clarke, M., Ghersi, D., Liberati, A., Petticrew, M., Shekelle, P., Stewart, L.A., & Group, P.P. (2015). Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015 statement. *Systematic Reviews*, 4(1), 1-9. <https://doi.org/10.1186/2046-4053-4-1>
- Morais, V.M., & Santos, L.C. (2017). Mediações e cibercultura: Estudo de comentários em matéria jornalística sobre a imigração venezuelana em Roraima [Mediation and Cyberculture: Study of journalistic comments on Venezuelan immigration in Roraima]. *Aturá*, 1(2), 120-144. <https://bit.ly/3inBqli>
- Moreira, S., Sousa, M., & Fortuna, V. (2019). Imigrantes sem voz: A produção de silêncio na cobertura jornalística das manifestações anti-imigração [Voiceless immigrants: The lack of press coverage on anti-immigration demonstrations]. *Contracampo*, 38(2), 23-35. <https://doi.org/10.22409/contracampo.v38i2.28514>
- Moreira, S.V. (2005). Trends and new challenges in journalism research in Brazil. *Brazilian Journalism Research*, 1(2), 9-24. <https://doi.org/10.25200/bjr.v1n2.2005.51>
- Novoselova, O.V. (2020). Peculiarities of modern nationalist messages in online political communication: The analyses of Donald Trump's and Jair Bolsonaro's election campaigns. *Changing Societies and Personalities*, 4, 350-371. <https://doi.org/10.15826/csp.2020.4.3.105>
- Paz, M.A., Montero-Díaz, J., & Moreno-Delgado, A. (2020). Hate speech: A systematized review. *SAGE Open*, 10(4), 1-12. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2158244020973022>
- Pereira-Lima, R.C., & Faria-Campos, P.H. (2015). Campo e grupo: Aproximação conceitual entre Pierre Bourdieu e a teoria moscoviciana das representações sociais [Field and group: A conceptual approximation between Pierre Bourdieu and the social representation theory of Moscovici]. *Educação e Pesquisa*, 41(1), 63-77. <https://doi.org/10.1590/s1517-97022015011454>
- Pruitt, L.J. (2019). Closed due to 'flooding'? UK media representations of refugees and migrants in 2015-2016- creating a crisis of borders. *British Journal of Politics and International Relations*, 21(2), 383-402. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1369148119830592>
- Ranincheski, S., & Uebel, R.R.G. (2018). "Polícia Federal deporta 450 imigrantes ilegais venezuelanos de Roraima": Um estudo sobre remediação, positivismo e pós-positivismo no jornal O Globo. *Diálogo*, 37, 39-52. <https://doi.org/10.18316/dialogo.v0i37.3879>
- Risam, R. (2018). Now you see them: Self-representation and the refugee selfie. *Popular Communication*, 16(1), 58-71. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15405702.2017.1413191>
- Ryan, C., & Reicher, S. (2019). An analysis of the nature and use of promigrant representations in an antideportation campaign. *Political Psychology*, 40(3), 583-598. <https://doi.org/10.1111/pops.12526>
- Sacramento, I., & Machado, I.B. (2015). A imigração como risco para a saúde: Análise das representações do imigrante africano na cobertura da Folha de S. Paulo sobre o ébola. *Comunicação e Sociedade*, 28, 25-47. [https://doi.org/10.17231/comsoc.28\(2015\).2269](https://doi.org/10.17231/comsoc.28(2015).2269)
- Scalvini, M. (2016). A crisis of religious diversity: Debating integration in post-immigration Europe. *Discourse and Communication*, 10(6), 614-634. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1750481316674779>
- Schemer, C. (2012). The influence of news media on stereotypic attitudes toward immigrants in a political campaign. *Journal of Communication*, 62(5), 739-757. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1460-2466.2012.01672.x>
- Schumann, S., Osborne, D., Gill, P., Fell, B.F., & Hewstone, M. (2020). Radical news? Immigrants' television use, acculturation challenges, and support for terrorism. *Behavioral Sciences of Terrorism and Political Aggression*, 13(4), 1-17. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19434472.2020.1779782>
- Seate, A.A., & Mastro, D. (2017). Exposure to immigration in the news: The impact of group-level emotions on intergroup behavior. *Communication Research*, 44(6), 817-840. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0093650215570654>

- Shan-Jan, S.L. (2019). Framing immigration: A content analysis of newspapers in Hong Kong, Taiwan, the United Kingdom, and the United States. *Politics, Groups, and Identities*, 9(4), 1-25. <https://doi.org/10.1080/21565503.2019.1674162>
- Shehaj, A., Shin, A.J., & Inglehart, R. (2021). Immigration and right-wing populism: An origin story. *Party Politics*, 27(2), 282-293. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1354068819849888>
- Silva, A.L. (2020). Imigrações na América Latina em tempos de globalização e mídia [Immigration of populations in latin america in globalization times and the media]. *Tópicos Educacionais*, 26(1), 183-204. <https://doi.org/10.51359/2448-0215.2020.245667>
- Skoric, M.M. (2014). The implications of big data for developing and transitional economies: Extending the triple helix? *Scientometrics*, 99(1), 175-186. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11192-013-1106-5>
- Theodoro, H., & Cogo, D. (2020). Sociocommunicational (in)visibilities in the/of the queer diaspora. *Palavra Clave*, 23(3), 1-29. <https://doi.org/10.5294/pacla.2020.23.3.2>
- Tonus, J.L. (2018). Migrantes e refugiados: À (a) espera de uma narrativa? *Letras de Hoje*, 53, 476-483. <https://doi.org/10.15448/1984-7726.2018.4.33009>
- Vasconcelos, D. (2004). Biblioteca do conhecimento online: um novo impulso para a sociedade da informação. *Cadernos BAD*, (1), 116-123. <https://doi.org/https://bit.ly/3ii2Csc>
- Vautier, E. (2009). Playing the "race card": White anxieties and the expression and repression of popular racisms in the 1997 UK election. *Patterns of Prejudice*, 43(2), 122-141. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00313220902793880>
- Walter, S. (2019). Better off without you? How the British media portrayed EU citizens in Brexit news. *International Journal of Press/Politics*, 24(2), 210-232. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1940161218821509>
- Winiewski, M., Hansen, K., Bilewicz, M., Soral, W., Widerska, A., & Bulska, D. (2017). *Contempt speech, hate speech. Report from research on verbal violence against minority groups*. Stefan Batory Foundation. <https://bit.ly/2ZX2RCS>
- Wirz, D.S., Wettstein, M., Schulz, A., Müller, P., Schemer, C., Ernst, N., Esser, F., & Wirth, W. (2018). The effects of right-wing populist communication on emotions and cognitions toward immigrants. *International Journal of Press/Politics*, 23(4), 496-516. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1940161218788956>
- Zanforlin, S., & Cogo, D. (2019). Mídia, mobilidade e cidadania no contexto do capitalismo global: Reflexões a partir da trajetória de um refugiado sírio [Media, mobility and citizenship in global capitalism: reflexions based on the trajectory of a Syrian refugee]. *Contemporânea*, 17, 7-28. <https://doi.org/10.9771/contemporanea.v17i1.25222>
- Zelenkauskaitė, A., & Bucy, E.P. (2016). A scholarly divide: Social media, big data, and unattainable scholarship. *First Monday*, 21(5). <https://doi.org/10.5210/fm.v21i5.6358>